

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AME	ERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number _	11mj70164HRL	
v. JULIAN CHAVEZ	, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTIO	N PENDING TRIAL	
		.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was FPD. The United States was represent		
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS AP	PLICABLE			
of a prior offense described i	n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) wh	escribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and ile on release pending trial for a feder ne date of conviction or the release of	al, state or local offense, and a	
	buttable presumption that no	condition or combination of condition	ons will reasonably assure the safety	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.				
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant				
has committed an offense				
	which a maximum term of	imprisonment of 10 years or more is p	prescribed in 21 U.S.C. 8	
	1 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 9		21 0.0.0.3	
	• • • • • •	of a firearm during the commission of	a felony	
This establishes a re	buttable presumption that no	condition or combination of condition	ons will reis mab was up the	
appearance of the defendant	as required and the safety of	the community.		
No presumption		•	MAR - 2 2011	
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRE	ESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	E	2011	
/ / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the sufficient evidence to rebut the sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable of the sufficient evidence to rebut the sufficient ev				
therefore will be ordered deta		N	ORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE	
/ / The defendant l	nas come forward with evide	nce to rebut the applicable presumption	on[s] to wit: .	
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.				
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)				
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will				
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR				
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will				
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.				
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION				
/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at				
the hearing and finds as follows:				
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.				
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a				
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.				
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the				
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.				
defendant to the United States IV	iarsnai for the purpose of an	appearance in connection with a con-	r proceeding.	
Dated:				
	HOWARD R. LLOYD			
		United States Magistrate Judge		

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____